

### **OU KASHRUT**

PAGE

#### BY RABBI EZRA FRIEDMAN

Director, The Gustave & Carol Jacobs Center for Kashrut Education

## Bishul Akum: Non-Jewish Employees in Restaurants and Hotels

Our Sages decreed that kosher food cooked by non-Jews, called bishul akum, is prohibited for consumption. Early rabbinic authorities dispute whether this decree is relevant when non-Jews serve as maids or butlers in Jewish homes, suggesting two main reasons to be lenient. Firstly, consider the case of a non-Jewish slave who is owned by a Jew and consequently obligated to keep certain mitzvot, including Shabbat. Bishul akum does not apply to such a slave. Perhaps a non-Jewish servant has a similar halachic status. The second reason for leniency is a more psychological one. Since the basis for bishul akum is to prevent unnecessary mingling which could lead to intermarriage, perhaps where the cooking is not of a social nature, but rather the product of an obligatory or contractual relationship, it is not part of the decree.

Most early authorities, including *Rambam*, offer no leniencies regarding the situation in which the non-Jew is cooking, and generally don't distinguish between a slave, a servant, an employee, and a non-Jewish acquaintance. The *Shulchan Aruch* rules like those authorities (YD 113:4). Later authorities (see *Ta*"z and the *Vilna Gaon* on *YD* 113:4) add that the *Shulchan Aruch* is stringent even in a case of

need or an ex post facto situation.

#### **REMA'S POSITION**

Rav Moshe Isserles [Rema], the leading Ashkenazic authority who wrote his interpolated commentary on the Shulchan Aruch, presents a seemingly perplexing ruling on this subject. At first, he writes that in case of need, or if the food was made already, one can rely on the opinion of Ramban that servants are not part of the decree of bishul akum. He then writes that in a case where a non-Jew is working in a Jewish home and there is a likelihood that the family will adjust the fire, (when a Jew adjusts or lights the fire, this mitigates the bishul akum prohibition), one can be lenient and a priori let a non-Jew cook for them (lechatchila).

Many authorities try to explain *Rema*'s wording and final ruling. The *Shach* (113:7) questions how *Rema* first relies on the *Ramban*, since in the time of *Rema* there were no longer non-Jewish slaves in the halachic sense, rather they would work for a period of time with wages, not as actual slaves. He then adds that *Rema*'s "leniency" if a Jew adds to the fire seems irrelevant, since *that* case would already not be considered *bishul akum*!

Among the numerous explanations of *Rema*'s ruling (see *Shach YD* 113:7), there are

The OU Israel Gustave & Carol Jacobs Center for Kashrut Education was created to raise awareness and educate the public in all areas of kashrut. Rabbi Ezra Friedman, Deputy Rabbinic Administrator for OU Kosher Israel is the Center's director.



two that fit particularly well with the wording and logic of Rema. The Aruch HaShulchan (113:4), among others (see Chochmat Adam 66:7), explains that all these factors are needed in order to understand the ruling: 1) The non-Jew must have some type of servitude as a cook; 2) The cooking must take place in a Jewish home; and 3) The fire must be adjusted by a Jew. In a previous article, we cited the ruling of the Tosafist, R. Avraham Ben David, who maintained that when a non-Jew cooks in a Jew's home or establishment, there is no bishul akum. The Rema does not accept this ruling, since non-Jewish butlers are not similar to the slaves of our Sages. However, in a case where there is some type of servitude or compulsion, such as a butler having a contract for a year which can't be broken, or a prisoner in a jail, AND the home is of Jewish ownership, one can be lenient in an ex post facto scenario or perhaps in dire need.

The additional third condition creates a lechatchila ruling, meaning one can rely on it from the outset. In a case where there is servitude (even partial), a Jewish home and a Jewish member of the household will adjust the fire, one may initiate such a scenario a priori and not be concerned about bishul akum. It seems that in a case where a Jewish member of the home normally stokes the fire to make sure the food is cooking, even if it did not happen, one may still be lenient from the outset (see Chochmat Adam 66:9).

Another explanation found in the Shach

is similar to the *Aruch HaShulchan* but with one very important difference. According to the *Shach*, in the *ex post facto* leniency cited initially by the *Rema*, the primary factor is the Jewish home. The *Shach* explains that even in a case where there is no slavery involved, *Rema* relies on the ruling of R. Avraham Ben David [disregarding the employment status of the non-Jew]. *Rema* decided to mention the ruling about the non-Jew's status, even though it seems out of place, since *Shulchan Aruch* there is talking about the halacha of a non-Jewish slave.

#### **HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS**

A direct practical difference between the Shach and the Aruch HaShulchan is the case of non-Jewish workers in modern, Jewish-owned establishments, such as restaurants and hotels. Although workers in such establishments are in no way obligated to work there and can quit as they please, according to the Shach, in a case where food was already produced it is permitted to consume as long as the establishment is owned by a Jew. The Aruch HaShulchan would disagree and even in an ex post facto scenario the food would be prohibited, based on his opinion that both conditions are required, i.e. Jewish-owned and at least a minimal servitude. Later poskim rule like the Aruch HaShulchan, and as such, food cooked by non-Jewish workers in Jewish-owned hotels and restaurants is subject to the decree of bishul akum, even in an ex post facto situation. (See responsa Teshuvot VeHanhagot 1:439; Levushei Oz, p.539; HaHalacha BaMishpacha, p.203.)

#### **IN SUMMARY:**

- Early authorities dispute whether household servants are included in the decree of *bishul akum*.
- Shulchan Aruch rules that all non-Jews, regardless of their occupation or professional relationship, are included in the decree of bishul akum.
- Rema is lenient in certain cases when a servant or maid is cooking in a Jewish home.
- Non-Jewish workers in hotels, restaurants and factories are included in the decree of bishul akum, even in an ex post facto case.

#### **Kashrut Questions in Israel?**

Call or Whatsapp Rabbi Friedman at 050-200-4432



# Eziony Catering Meals for Pesach

Kosher Lemehadrin Glatt Bnei Brak No Kitniyot • No Gibrochs Gluten-free • Shemita L'chumra

Homemade Quality Heimish Food

Nationwide delivery door-to-door service

Place orders until April 14
Get 5% off when you place order
by April 10
ezionycatering.co.il

facebook.com/ezionycatering

Contact us for details and menu:

03-5707969 • 050-7959960 Fax: 03-6190447

mendyez@gmail.com

